UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTES ICE

Comcast of Massachu ("Comcast")	setts I, Inc.) Case Nov: 23 A II: 1	l l	
Plaintiff,		COMPLAINT FOR TASE	VIOLATIONS OF 47 C. §553	
vs.		70478		
Louis Pignatone) MJ Alexander	RECEIPT # 6034	8_
Defendant))	SUMMONS ISSUED YELOCAL RULE 4.1	5
	<u>NATU</u>	RE OF ACTION	WAIVER FORM. MCF ISSUED. BY DPTY. CLK. F-C	M

- Plaintiff Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc. ("Comcast") brings this Complaint to redress injuries that it has suffered as a result of Defendant Louis Pignatone's (hereinafter the "Defendant") cable television signal piracy.
- 2. The Defendant's use of three (3) statutorily prohibited electronic device(s) that descrambled and intercepted Comcast's cable television signals violated provisions of Title 47 U.S.C. § 553 and effectuated a conversion of the Plaintiff's property, its cable television signals.

PARTIES

- Comcast is a Massachusetts corporation and maintains a place of business at 6
 Campanelli Drive, Andover, Essex County, Massachusetts.
- 4. The Defendant was and is an individual with his principal residence at 9 Jonathan Road, Burlington, MA 01803. Upon information and belief, the Defendant resided at 9 Jonathan Road, Burlington, MA at all times relevant to the said violations of 47 U.S.C. § 553.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action is brought pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553.
- 6. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

 Venue is proper in the United States District Court in and for the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Comcast provides cable television services to subscribers in the Burlington area, and other areas in Massachusetts pursuant to franchise agreements with various municipalities.
- 8. Comcast is the successor-in-interest to the legal entity that held the prior cable television franchise in this area, and, as such successor, Comcast has the right to pursue the claims set forth herein even if said claims may have accrued during the time that the predecessor-in-interest held the cable television franchise.
- 9. In order to provide cable television services, Comcast pays fees to programmers for the right to receive programs, mostly by way of interstate radio communications, and transmit their programming over Comcast's system
- 10. The signals that Comcast transmits over its system are private, proprietary communications not intended for public use.
- 11. Subscribers pay Comcast based on the level of service they wish to receive.
- 12. In order to protect its signals and maintain the value of its services, Comcast electronically encodes or scrambles some of its signals so that they must first be decoded by electronic decoding equipment in order to be viewed clearly on a television receiver. The signals Comcast encodes or scrambles include premium channels, such as HBO, Showtime, and Cinemax, for which subscribers pay a separate monthly subscription fee, and pay-per-view events, such as a specific

movie, concert or sporting event, for which subscribers pay a specific one-time charge to view each event. Comcast provides subscribers with electronic decoding equipment (hereinafter referred to as "decoders") to decode these signals. Comcast programs these decoders so that a subscriber may only view that level of service, which he or she has purchased.

- 13. On or before November 30, 2001, the Defendant or some third party modified three (3) decoders, without Comcast's authorization, thereby creating three (3) descrambling device(s).
- 14. The descrambling device(s) was/were capable of defeating Comcast's encoding and scrambling technology.
- 15. The Defendant used the descrambling device(s) to receive, without authorization, scrambled or encoded programming and services offered over Comcast's system.
- 16. By using the unauthorized and illegal descrambling device(s), the Defendant was able to view Comcast's highest level of cable television programming and service. including premium channels and pay-per-view events, while only paying for a lower level of service.

(Violation 47 U.S.C. § 553)

- 17. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. The Defendant's conduct violated Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).
- 19. Comcast is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's violation of Title 47 U.S.C. §553 and is authorized to institute this action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(1).
- 20. The cable transmissions that make up Comcast's signal are communications services offered over a cable system and, as such, are protected by Title 47 U.S.C.

§ 553.

- 21. The Defendant knowingly and willfully violated Title 47 U.S.C. § 553.
- 22. Comcast did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's interception and use of its cable transmissions.
- 23. The Defendant's violations have injured Comcast's ability to generate revenue by depriving Comcast of payment for its programming.

COUNT II

(Conversion)

- 24. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23.
- 25. The Defendant exercised dominion and control over the Plaintiff's property, its cable television signals, without authorization or legal right to do so.
- 26. The Defendant's conduct was willful, intentional, malicious, and wrongful, with the intent to deprive the Plaintiff of the right to possession of its cable television signals.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's conversion of the Plaintiff's signals the Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages; accordingly, the Defendant is liable for all of the Plaintiff's damages.

WHEREFORE, Comcast prays for Judgment against the Defendant and requests that the Court grant it the following relief:

- 1. Statutory damages of \$10,000.00 for each violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a), totaling \$10,000.00.
- 2. Money damages in favor of the Plaintiff for all damages the Plaintiff has suffered as a result of the Defendant's conversion;

- Comcast's attorney's fees and costs in prosecuting this lawsuit as provided for by 3. 47 U.S.C. 553(c)(2)(C);
- The issuance of a permanent injunction pursuant to provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 553 4. utilizing the following language or language of a similar nature:

"The Court hereby enjoins the Defendant, the Defendant's respective agents, servants, employees and any person or entity controlled directly or indirectly by the Defendant or acting on the Defendant's behalf from the further use and/or distribution of electronic equipment designed for the unauthorized interception of signal in violation of provisions of Title 47."

- 5. Post judgment interest pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 1961; and
- 6. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted for the Plaintiff, Comcast of Massachusetts I, Inc.

By Its Attorney,

M. McLaughlin

Green, Miles, Lipton & Fitz-Gibbon

77 Pleasant Street

P.O. Box 210

Northampton, MA 01061 Telephone: (413) 586-0865

BBO No. 556328

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	TITLE O	F CASE (NAME OF FIRST PA	RTY ON EACH SI	DE ONLY) Comcast	of Mass	sachuse	terlaine, o	s. Louis Pigna	<u>itone</u>
2.	CATEGO COVER	ORY IN W SHEET.	HICH THE CASE BE (SEE LOCAL RULE	LONGS BASED U 40.1(A)(1)).	PON THE NUMBER	ED NAT		SUIT CO	DE LISTED ON	THE CIVIL
	_	I.	160, 410, 470, R.2	3, REGARDLESS	OF NATURE OF SUI		OH THUY	L/		
	<u>XX</u>	II.	195, 368, 400, 440 740, 790, 791, 820	, 441-444, 540, 55(*, 830*, 840*, 850,), 555, 625, 710, 720, 890, 892-894, 895, 9		STR	so comple patent, tr	PAO 120 or ademark or co) AO 121 Opyright cases
	_	111.	315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.	, 345, 350, 355, 36	0, 240, 245, 290, 310 0, 362, 365, 370, 371), '	N.	18	»	
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,	460, 510, 530, 610 870, 871, 875, 900	0, 620, 630, 640, 650	, 660,	4			
		V .	150, 152, 153.		NA.					
3.	TITLE AN	D NUMB N FILED	ER, IF ANY, OF REL IN THIS DISTRICT P	ATED CASES. (SI LEASE INDICATE	EE LOOAL RULE 40. THE TITLE AND NU	.1(G)). I JMBER	F MORE	THAN ON	NE PRIOR REL ED CASE IN T	ATED CASE
	<u>None</u>									
4.	HAS A P	RIOR ACT	TION BETWEEN THE	SAME PARTIES	AND BASED ON THI	E SAME YES	CLAIM NO	EVER BEI	EN FILED IN T	HIS COURT?
5.	DOES TH	E COMPI	LAINT IN THIS CASE 17 (SEE 28 USC §2	QUESTION THE	CONSTITUTIONALIT				RESS AFFECT	ING THE
			A. OR AN OFFICER,	-	0.755 0.5	YES	□ NO	×		
	,		A ON AN OFFICER,	AGENT OR EMPL	OYEE OF THE U.S.	A PART YES	ry? □ NO	×		
6.	IS THIS C 28 USC §:	ASE REC 2284?	QUIRED TO BE HEAF	RD AND DETERMI	NED BY A DISTRICT	COUR	T OF TH	REE JUD	GES PURSUA	NT TO TITLE
						YES	□ NO	×		
7. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE SAME DIVISION? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).										
			,			YES	⊠ NO			
	,	1.	IF YES, IN WHICH DIV EASTERN DIVISION	/ISION DO <u>ALL</u> OF T ⊠	HE NON-GOVERNMEN CENTRAL DIVISION	ITAL PAI	RTIES RES		ERN DIVISION	
	2	2.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVI GOVERNMENTAL AGE	SION DO THE MAJO	PRITY OF THE PLAINT	IFFS OR SIDE?	THE ONL			_
			EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVISION			WEST	ERN DIVISION	П
(PLE	ASE TYPE (OR PRINT)								_
ATTORNEY'S NAME John M. McLaughlin										
ADDF	RESS <u>Gre</u>	en, Miles	, Lipton & Fitz-Gibbo	n, 77 Pleasant Str	et, P.O. Box 210 No	orthamo	iton MA	01061		
TELE	PHONE NO	(41	3) 586-0865			igirib	COLL MA	<u> </u>		

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS FILED				
Comcast of Massachusetts 1, Inc.				Louis Pignatone !! EPMS OF HUE				
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Middlesex (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED)				
				TRACT OF LANG	ANACTOR LIVE			
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, A) John M. McLaughlin 77 Pleasant Street, P.C Northampton, MA 0106), Box 210			ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (PLACE AN	"X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		TIZENSHIP OF PRINC or Diversity Cases Only)		CE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF DNE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Governme	ı ent Not a Party)	Cit	tizen of This State	of Busine	PTF DEF d or Principal Place ss In This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizer in Item III)	nship of Parties	Ci	itizen or Subject of a		d and Principal Place 5 5 5 ess In Another State		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN	ONE BOX ONLY		Foreign Country		occess 198554		
CONTRACT	, `	ORTS	ĪF	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATIDIES		
10 Insurance 20 Marine 430 Millier Act 40 Negotiable Instrument 50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 451 Medicare Act 52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exc.) Veterans)	rance ne r Act rbiable Instrument overy of Overpayment forcement of Judgment ioare Act overy of Defaulted denf Loans J. Veterans) PERSONAL INJURY 110 Airplane 115 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine		RY ee nal lability	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Setzure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 360 Occupational Safety/Health	122 Appeal 28 USC 158 1423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights 330 Patent 340 Trademark	100 State Reapportionment 110 Antitrust 130 Banks and Banking 150 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 160 Deportation		
53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth In Lending		190 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	375 Customer Challenge		
160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Damag Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	je	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt Relations	361 HIA (1395ff) 62 Black Lung (923) 63 DIWC/DIWW(405(g)	391 Agricultural Acts 392 Economic Stabilization Act 393 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY 10 Land Condemnation (20 Foreclosure (30 Rent Lease & E Jectment (40 Torts to Land (45 Tort Product Liability (290 All other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housings Accommodations 444 Weltare 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETIT 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: 530 General 335 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 55 Prison Condition	ate her	730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act '90 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl Ret Inc. Security Act	ie4 SSID Title XVI ie5 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUIT FEDERAL TAX SUIT or Defendant) 871 IRS - Third Parly 26 USC 7609	395 Freedom of Information Act Information Act Information Act 200 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions		
V. ORIGIN		32. 15. 1		ONE BOX ONLY)		Appeal to District		
			Reinstate Reopene	ed or another di	istrict Multidi	strict Magistrate		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (CITE THE U. S. CIVIL STAT	UTE UNDER WHICH YOU ICTIONAL STATUTES IN	ARE FILIN	(EPSITY)				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTI 23	ON	DEMAND \$ \$30,000,00	JURY DEMANI	ly if demanded in Complaint D: YES X NO		
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S)(See instructions):	JUDGE	A 1		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE	20104	SIGNATURE OF	AYOR	y of Record	$\sqrt{\sqrt{1}}$			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	V. J							
RECEIPT #	. AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG	. JUDGE		